

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/065,787	Applicant(s) BETTINGER, DAVID S.	
	Examiner James M Hewitt	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants:

(1) James M Hewitt.

(2) David Bettinger.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of McHughs in view of Shafer

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

McHughs, Shafer


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant and the Examiner discussed the McHughes and Shafer references and the validity of their combination. The Examiner also informed Applicant that "fictional" would be replaced with "frictional" in paragraph [0007] of the specification and that the abstract would be rewritten in an examiner's amendment since the abstract filed 2/10/04 was not in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.72 .